



4


PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁷ : G06F 9/30		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/33177
			(43) International Publication Date: 8 June 2000 (08.06.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US99/28609			(81) Designated States: JP, KR, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).
(22) International Filing Date: 3 December 1999 (03.12.99)			
(30) Priority Data: 09/204,479 3 December 1998 (03.12.98) US			
(71) Applicant: SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC. [US/US]; 901 San Antonio Road, M/S PAL01-521, Palo Alto, CA 94303 (US).			
(72) Inventors: TREMBLAY, Marc; 140 Hanna Way, Menlo Park, CA 94025 (US). JOY, William, N.; P.O. Box 23, Aspen, CO 81612-0023 (US).			
(74) Agents: KOESTNER, Ken, J. et al.; Skjerven, Morrill, MacPherson, Franklin & Friel LLP, 25 Metro Drive, Suite 700, San Jose, CA 95110 (US).			Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>

(54) Title: IMPLICITLY DERIVED REGISTER SPECIFIERS IN A PROCESSOR



(57) Abstract

A processor executes an instruction set including instructions in which a register specifier is implicitly derived, based on another register specifier. One technique for implicitly deriving a register specifier is to add or subtract one from a specifically-defined register specifier. Implicit derivation of a register specifier is selectively implemented for some opcodes. A decoder decodes instructions that use implicitly-derived register specifiers and reads the explicitly-defined register. The decoder generates pointers both to the explicitly-defined register and to the implicitly-derived register. In other embodiments, a pointer to registers within a register file includes an additional bit indicating that a register read is accompanied by a read of an implicitly-derived register.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

IMPLICITLY DERIVED REGISTER SPECIFIERS IN A PROCESSOR

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates to instruction execution elements of a processor. More specifically, the present invention relates to the instruction execution elements of a Very Long Instruction Word (VLIW) processor including control elements that define and supply register specifiers.

BACKGROUND ART

One technique for improving the performance of processors is parallel execution of multiple instructions to allow the instruction execution rate to exceed the clock rate. Various types of parallel processors have been developed including Very Long Instruction Word (VLIW) processors that use multiple, independent functional units to execute multiple instructions in parallel. VLIW processors package multiple operations into one very long instruction, the multiple operations being determined by sub-instructions that are applied to the independent functional units. An instruction has a set of fields corresponding to each functional unit. Typical bit lengths of a subinstruction commonly range from 16 to 24 bits per functional unit to produce an instruction length often in a range from 112 to 168 bits.

The multiple functional units are kept busy by maintaining a code sequence with sufficient operations to keep instructions scheduled. A VLIW processor often uses a technique called trace scheduling to maintain scheduling efficiency by unrolling loops and scheduling code across basic function blocks. Trace scheduling also improves efficiency by allowing instructions to move across branch points.

Limitations of VLIW processing include limited parallelism, limited hardware resources, and a vast increase in code size. A limited amount of parallelism is available in instruction sequences. Unless loops are unrolled a very large number of times, insufficient operations are available to fill the instructions. Limited hardware resources are a problem, not only because of duplication of functional units but more importantly due to a large increase in memory and register file bandwidth. A large number of read and write ports are necessary for accessing the register file, imposing a bandwidth that is difficult to support without a large cost in the size of the register file and degradation in clock speed. As the number of ports increases, the complexity of the memory system further increases. To allow multiple memory accesses in parallel, the memory is divided into multiple banks having different addresses to reduce the likelihood that multiple operations in a single instruction have conflicting accesses that cause the processor to stall since synchrony must be maintained between the functional units.

Code size is a problem for several reasons. The generation of sufficient operations in a nonbranching code fragment requires substantial unrolling of loops, increasing the code size. Also, instructions that are not full include unused subinstructions that waste code space, increasing code size. Furthermore, the increase in the size

- 2 -

of storages such as the register file increase the number of bits in the instruction for addressing registers in the register file.

A register file with a large number of registers is often used to increase performance of a VLIW processor. A VLIW processor is typically implemented as a deeply pipelined engine with an "in-order" execution model. To attain a high performance a large number of registers is utilized so that the multiple functional units are busy as often as possible.

A large register file has several drawbacks. First, as the number of registers that are directly addressable is increased, the number of bits used in the instruction also increases. For a rich instruction set architecture with, for example, four register specifiers, an additional bit for a register specifier effectively costs four bits in the instruction (one bit per register specifier). Second, a register file with many registers occupies a large area. Third, a register file with many registers may create critical timing paths and therefore limit the cycle time of the processor.

Many powerful instructions utilize multiple register specifiers. For example, a multiply and add instruction (muladd) utilizes four register specifiers including two source operands that are multiplied, a third source operand that is added to the product of the multiplication, and a destination register to receive the result of the addition. Register specifiers are costly due to a large consumption of instruction word bits. For example, a large register file in a VLIW processor may include 128 or more registers that are specified in seven or more bits. Typically the instruction word is limited in size, for example to 32 bits per subinstruction. A 32 bit subinstruction with four register specifiers of seven bits would have 28 bits used for register specification alone, leaving only four bits to specify an operation code and supply other coding. Accordingly, the large number of register specifiers in combination with a limited instruction size constrains the power and flexibility of the processor.

What is needed is a technique and processor architecture enhancement that improves the efficiency of instruction coding and reduces the bit resource allocation within an instruction word that is dedicated to register specification.

DISCLOSURE OF INVENTION

A processor executes an instruction set including instructions in which a register specifier is implicitly derived, based on another register specifier. One technique for implicitly deriving a register specifier is to add or subtract one from a specifically-defined register specifier. Implicit derivation of a register specifier is selectively implemented for some opcodes. One example which may be implemented in some embodiments is a multiply and add instruction muladd which is encoded as follows:

muladd rs1, rs2, rd,

- 3 -

which performs an operation specified by the equation:

$$rd = (rs1 * [rs1+1]) + rs2.$$

The term $[rs1+1]$ designates data contained within the register following the explicitly-defined register $rs1$. For example, if $rs1$ is set to specify a register $r2$, $rs2$ is set to specify a register $r19$, and rd is set to specify a register $r22$, then the instruction is, as follows:

muladd $r2, r19, r22,$

which performs an operation specified by the equation:

$$r22 = (r2 * r3) + r19.$$

Some processor embodiments may support another instruction that includes implicitly-derived register specifiers, a bit extract instruction:

bitext $r10, r45, r77,$

which generates a read of registers $r10, r11$, and $r45$, and a write of register $r77$. Register $r11$ is derived automatically without consuming seven bits of the instruction field.

In some embodiments, a decoder decodes instructions that use implicitly-derived register specifiers and reads the explicitly-defined register. The decoder generates pointers both to the explicitly-defined register and to the implicitly-derived register. In other embodiments, a pointer to registers within a register file includes an additional bit indicating that a register read is accompanied by a read of an implicitly-derived register. When the bit indicates selection of the implicitly-derived register specifier, the register file generates two pointers, one directed to the explicitly-specified register and a second directed to the implicitly-derived register.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

The features of the described embodiments are specifically set forth in the appended claims. However, embodiments of the invention relating to both structure and method of operation, may best be understood by referring to the following description and accompanying drawings.

FIGURE 1 is a schematic block diagram illustrating a single integrated circuit chip implementation of a processor in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

FIGURE 2 is a schematic block diagram showing the core of the processor.

- 4 -

FIGURE 3 is a schematic block diagram that illustrates an embodiment of the split register file that is suitable for usage in the processor.

FIGURE 4 is a schematic block diagram that shows a logical view of the register file and functional units in the processor.

5 **FIGURES 5A, 5B, and 5C** show a schematic block diagram of a divided or split register file, a high level view of computation elements of a functional unit, and a pictorial view of an instruction format, respectively, which are used to illustrate the difficulty of defining an instruction format with a limited number of instruction bits.

10 **FIGURE 6** is a schematic block diagram showing a register file for a VLIW processor that includes global and local register partitioning.

FIGURE 7 is a pictorial schematic diagram depicting an example of instruction execution among a plurality of media functional units.

FIGURE 8 illustrates a schematic block diagram of an SRAM array used for the multi-port split register file.

15 **FIGURE 9** is a schematic block diagram that illustrates a read circuit operating in conjunction with a decoder or a register file for generating implicitly-derived register specifiers.

FIGURE 10 is a schematic timing diagram that illustrates timing of the processor pipeline.

The use of the same reference symbols in different drawings indicates similar or identical items.

MODES FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

20 Referring to **FIGURE 1**, a schematic block diagram illustrates a single integrated circuit chip implementation of a processor **100** that includes a memory interface **102**, a geometry decompressor **104**, two media processing units **110** and **112**, a shared data cache **106**, and several interface controllers. The interface controllers support an interactive graphics environment with real-time constraints by integrating fundamental components of memory, graphics, and input/output bridge functionality on a single die. The components are
25 mutually linked and closely linked to the processor core with high bandwidth, low-latency communication channels to manage multiple high-bandwidth data streams efficiently and with a low response time. The interface controllers include a an UltraPort Architecture Interconnect (UPA) controller **116** and a peripheral component interconnect (PCI) controller **120**. The illustrative memory interface **102** is a direct Rambus dynamic RAM (DRDRAM) controller. The shared data cache **106** is a dual-port storage that is shared among the media
30 processing units **110** and **112** with one port allocated to each media processing unit. The data cache **106** is four-

- 5 -

way set associative, follows a write-back protocol, and supports hits in the fill buffer (not shown). The data cache 106 allows fast data sharing and eliminates the need for a complex, error-prone cache coherency protocol between the media processing units 110 and 112.

The UPA controller 116 is a custom interface that attains a suitable balance between high-performance computational and graphic subsystems. The UPA is a cache-coherent, processor-memory interconnect. The UPA attains several advantageous characteristics including a scaleable bandwidth through support of multiple bused interconnects for data and addresses, packets that are switched for improved bus utilization, higher bandwidth, and precise interrupt processing. The UPA performs low latency memory accesses with high throughput paths to memory. The UPA includes a buffered cross-bar memory interface for increased bandwidth and improved scalability. The UPA supports high-performance graphics with two-cycle single-word writes on the 64-bit UPA interconnect. The UPA interconnect architecture utilizes point-to-point packet switched messages from a centralized system controller to maintain cache coherence. Packet switching improves bus bandwidth utilization by removing the latencies commonly associated with transaction-based designs.

The PCI controller 120 is used as the primary system I/O interface for connecting standard, high-volume, low-cost peripheral devices, although other standard interfaces may also be used. The PCI bus effectively transfers data among high bandwidth peripherals and low bandwidth peripherals, such as CD-ROM players, DVD players, and digital cameras.

Two media processing units 110 and 112 are included in a single integrated circuit chip to support an execution environment exploiting thread level parallelism in which two independent threads can execute simultaneously. The threads may arise from any sources such as the same application, different applications, the operating system, or the runtime environment. Parallelism is exploited at the thread level since parallelism is rare beyond four, or even two, instructions per cycle in general purpose code. For example, the illustrative processor 100 is an eight-wide machine with eight execution units for executing instructions. A typical "general-purpose" processing code has an instruction level parallelism of about two so that, on average, most (about six) of the eight execution units would be idle at any time. The illustrative processor 100 employs thread level parallelism and operates on two independent threads, possibly attaining twice the performance of a processor having the same resources and clock rate but utilizing traditional non-thread parallelism.

Thread level parallelism is particularly useful for Java™ applications which are bound to have multiple threads of execution. Java™ methods including "suspend", "resume", "sleep", and the like include effective support for threaded program code. In addition, Java™ class libraries are thread-safe to promote parallelism. (Java™, Sun, Sun Microsystems and the Sun Logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of Sun Microsystems, Inc. in the United States and other countries. All SPARC trademarks, including UltraSPARC I and UltraSPARC II, are used under license and are trademarks of SPARC International, Inc. in the United States and other countries. Products bearing SPARC trademarks are based upon an architecture developed by Sun Microsystems,

- 6 -

Inc.) Furthermore, the thread model of the processor 100 supports a dynamic compiler which runs as a separate thread using one media processing unit 110 while the second media processing unit 112 is used by the current application. In the illustrative system, the compiler applies optimizations based on "on-the-fly" profile feedback information while dynamically modifying the executing code to improve execution on each subsequent run. For example, a "garbage collector" may be executed on a first media processing unit 110, copying objects or gathering pointer information, while the application is executing on the other media processing unit 112.

Although the processor 100 shown in FIGURE 1 includes two processing units on an integrated circuit chip, the architecture is highly scaleable so that one to several closely-coupled processors may be formed in a message-based coherent architecture and resident on the same die to process multiple threads of execution. Thus, in the processor 100, a limitation on the number of processors formed on a single die thus arises from capacity constraints of integrated circuit technology rather than from architectural constraints relating to the interactions and interconnections between processors.

Referring to FIGURE 2, a schematic block diagram shows the core of the processor 100. The media processing units 110 and 112 each include an instruction cache 210, an instruction aligner 212, an instruction buffer 214, a pipeline control unit 226, a split register file 216, a plurality of execution units, and a load/store unit 218. In the illustrative processor 100, the media processing units 110 and 112 use a plurality of execution units for executing instructions. The execution units for a media processing unit 110 include three media functional units (MFU) 220 and one general functional unit (GFU) 222. The media functional units 220 are multiple single-instruction-multiple-datapath (MSIMD) media functional units. Each of the media functional units 220 is capable of processing parallel 16-bit components. Various parallel 16-bit operations supply the single-instruction-multiple-datapath capability for the processor 100 including add, multiply-add, shift, compare, and the like. The media functional units 220 operate in combination as tightly-coupled digital signal processors (DSPs). Each media functional unit 220 has an separate and individual sub-instruction stream, but all three media functional units 220 execute synchronously so that the subinstructions progress lock-step through pipeline stages.

The general functional unit 222 is a RISC processor capable of executing arithmetic logic unit (ALU) operations, loads and stores, branches, and various specialized and esoteric functions such as parallel power operations, reciprocal square root operations, and many others. The general functional unit 222 supports less common parallel operations such as the parallel reciprocal square root instruction.

The illustrative instruction cache 210 has a 16 Kbyte capacity and includes hardware support to maintain coherence, allowing dynamic optimizations through self-modifying code. Software is used to indicate that the instruction storage is being modified when modifications occur. The 16K capacity is suitable for performing graphic loops, other multimedia tasks or processes, and general-purpose JavaTM code. Coherency is maintained by hardware that supports write-through, non-allocating caching. Self-modifying code is supported

- 7 -

through explicit use of "store-to-instruction-space" instructions *store2i*. Software uses the *store2i* instruction to maintain coherency with the instruction cache 210 so that the instruction caches 210 do not have to be snooped on every single store operation issued by the media processing unit 110.

The pipeline control unit 226 is connected between the instruction buffer 214 and the functional units and schedules the transfer of instructions to the functional units. The pipeline control unit 226 also receives status signals from the functional units and the load/store unit 218 and uses the status signals to perform several control functions. The pipeline control unit 226 maintains a scoreboard, generates stalls and bypass controls. The pipeline control unit 226 also generates traps and maintains special registers.

Each media processing unit 110 and 112 includes a split register file 216, a single logical register file including 128 thirty-two bit registers. The split register file 216 is split into a plurality of register file segments 224 to form a multi-ported structure that is replicated to reduce the integrated circuit die area and to reduce access time. A separate register file segment 224 is allocated to each of the media functional units 220 and the general functional unit 222. In the illustrative embodiment, each register file segment 224 has 128 32-bit registers. The first 96 registers (0-95) in the register file segment 224 are global registers. All functional units can write to the 96 global registers. The global registers are coherent across all functional units (MFU and GFU) so that any write operation to a global register by any functional unit is broadcast to all register file segments 224. Registers 96-127 in the register file segments 224 are local registers. Local registers allocated to a functional unit are not accessible or "visible" to other functional units.

The media processing units 110 and 112 are highly structured computation blocks that execute software-scheduled data computation operations with fixed, deterministic and relatively short instruction latencies, operational characteristics yielding simplification in both function and cycle time. The operational characteristics support multiple instruction issue through a pragmatic very large instruction word (VLIW) approach that avoids hardware interlocks to account for software that does not schedule operations properly. Such hardware interlocks are typically complex, error-prone, and create multiple critical paths. A VLIW instruction word always includes one instruction that executes in the general functional unit (GFU) 222 and from zero to three instructions that execute in the media functional units (MFU) 220. A MFU instruction field within the VLIW instruction word includes an operation code (opcode) field, three source register (or immediate) fields, and one destination register field.

Instructions are executed in-order in the processor 100 but loads can finish out-of-order with respect to other instructions and with respect to other loads, allowing loads to be moved up in the instruction stream so that data can be streamed from main memory. The execution model eliminates the usage and overhead resources of an instruction window, reservation stations, a re-order buffer, or other blocks for handling instruction ordering. Elimination of the instruction ordering structures and overhead resources is highly advantageous since the

eliminated blocks typically consume a large portion of an integrated circuit die. For example, the eliminated blocks consume about 30% of the die area of a Pentium II processor.

To avoid software scheduling errors, the media processing units 110 and 112 are high-performance but simplified with respect to both compilation and execution. The media processing units 110 and 112 are most generally classified as a simple 2-scalar execution engine with full bypassing and hardware interlocks on load operations. The instructions include loads, stores, arithmetic and logic (ALU) instructions, and branch instructions so that scheduling for the processor 100 is essentially equivalent to scheduling for a simple 2-scalar execution engine for each of the two media processing units 110 and 112.

The processor 100 supports full bypasses between the first two execution units within the media processing unit 110 and 112 and has a scoreboard in the general functional unit 222 for load operations so that the compiler does not need to handle nondeterministic latencies due to cache misses. The processor 100 scoreboards long latency operations that are executed in the general functional unit 222, for example a reciprocal square-root operation, to simplify scheduling across execution units. The scoreboard (not shown) operates by tracking a record of an instruction packet or group from the time the instruction enters a functional unit until the instruction is finished and the result becomes available. A VLIW instruction packet contains one GFU instruction and from zero to three MFU instructions. The source and destination registers of all instructions in an incoming VLIW instruction packet are checked against the scoreboard. Any true dependencies or output dependencies stall the entire packet until the result is ready. Use of a scoreboarded result as an operand causes instruction issue to stall for a sufficient number of cycles to allow the result to become available. If the referencing instruction that provokes the stall executes on the general functional unit 222 or the first media functional unit 220, then the stall only endures until the result is available for intra-unit bypass. For the case of a *load* instruction that hits in the data cache 106, the stall may last only one cycle. If the referencing instruction is on the second or third media functional units 220, then the stall endures until the result reaches the writeback stage in the pipeline where the result is bypassed in transmission to the split register file 216.

The scoreboard automatically manages load delays that occur during a load hit. In an illustrative embodiment, all loads enter the scoreboard to simplify software scheduling and eliminate NOPs in the instruction stream.

The scoreboard is used to manage most interlocks between the general functional unit 222 and the media functional units 220. All loads and non-pipelined long-latency operations of the general functional unit 222 are scoreboarded. The long-latency operations include division *idiv*, *fdiv* instructions, reciprocal square root *frecsqrt*, *precsqrt* instructions, and power *ppower* instructions. None of the results of the media functional units 220 is scoreboarded. Non-scoreboarded results are available to subsequent operations on the functional unit that produces the results following the latency of the instruction.

- 9 -

The illustrative processor 100 has a rendering rate of over fifty million triangles per second without accounting for operating system overhead. Therefore, data feeding specifications of the processor 100 are far beyond the capabilities of cost-effective memory systems. Sufficient data bandwidth is achieved by rendering of compressed geometry using the geometry decompressor 104, an on-chip real-time geometry decompression engine. Data geometry is stored in main memory in a compressed format. At render time, the data geometry is fetched and decompressed in real-time on the integrated circuit of the processor 100. The geometry decompressor 104 advantageously saves memory space and memory transfer bandwidth. The compressed geometry uses an optimized generalized mesh structure that explicitly calls out most shared vertices between triangles, allowing the processor 100 to transform and light most vertices only once. In a typical compressed mesh, the triangle throughput of the transform-and-light stage is increased by a factor of four or more over the throughput for isolated triangles. For example, during processing of triangles, multiple vertices are operated upon in parallel so that the utilization rate of resources is high, achieving effective spatial software pipelining. Thus operations are overlapped in time by operating on several vertices simultaneously, rather than overlapping several loop iterations in time. For other types of applications with high instruction level parallelism, high trip count loops are software-pipelined so that most media functional units 220 are fully utilized.

Referring to **FIGURE 3**, a schematic block diagram illustrates an embodiment of the split register file 216 that is suitable for usage in the processor 100. The split register file 216 supplies all operands of processor instructions that execute in the media functional units 220 and the general functional units 222 and receives results of the instruction execution from the execution units. The split register file 216 operates as an interface to the geometry decompressor 104. The split register file 216 is the source and destination of store and load operations, respectively.

In the illustrative processor 100, the split register file 216 in each of the media processing units 110 and 112 has 128 registers. Graphics processing places a heavy burden on register usage. Therefore, a large number of registers is supplied by the split register file 216 so that performance is not limited by loads and stores or handling of intermediate results including graphics "fills" and "spills". The illustrative split register file 216 includes twelve read ports and five write ports, supplying total data read and write capacity between the central registers of the split register file 216 and all media functional units 220 and the general functional unit 222. The five write ports include one 64-bit write port that is dedicated to load operations. The remaining four write ports are 32 bits wide and are used to write operations of the general functional unit 222 and the media functional units 220.

Total read and write capacity promotes flexibility and facility in programming both of hand-coded routines and compiler-generated code.

Large, multiple-ported register files are typically metal-limited so that the register area is proportional with the square of the number of ports. A sixteen port file is roughly proportional in size and speed to a value of

- 10 -

256. The illustrative split register file 216 is divided into four register file segments 310, 312, 314, and 316, each having three read ports and four write ports so that each register file segment has a size and speed proportional to 49 for a total area for the four segments that is proportional to 196. The total area is therefore potentially smaller and faster than a single central register file. Write operations are fully broadcast so that all files are maintained coherent. Logically, the split register file 216 is no different from a single central register file. However, from the perspective of layout efficiency, the split register file 216 is highly advantageous, allowing for reduced size and improved performance.

The new media data that is operated upon by the processor 100 is typically heavily compressed. Data transfers are communicated in a compressed format from main memory and input/output devices to pins of the processor 100, subsequently decompressed on the integrated circuit holding the processor 100, and passed to the split register file 216.

Splitting the register file into multiple segments in the split register file 216 in combination with the character of data accesses in which multiple bytes are transferred to the plurality of execution units concurrently, results in a high utilization rate of the data supplied to the integrated circuit chip and effectively leads to a much higher data bandwidth than is supported on general-purpose processors. The highest data bandwidth requirement is therefore not between the input/output pins and the central processing units, but is rather between the decompressed data source and the remainder of the processor. For graphics processing, the highest data bandwidth requirement is between the geometry decompressor 104 and the split register file 216. For video decompression, the highest data bandwidth requirement is internal to the split register file 216. Data transfers between the geometry decompressor 104 and the split register file 216 and data transfers between various registers of the split register file 216 can be wide and run at processor speed, advantageously delivering a large bandwidth.

The register file 216 is a focal point for attaining the very large bandwidth of the processor 100. The processor 100 transfers data using a plurality of data transfer techniques. In one example of a data transfer technique, cacheable data is loaded into the split register file 216 through normal load operations at a low rate of up to eight bytes per cycle. In another example, streaming data is transferred to the split register file 216 through group load operations which transfer thirty-two bytes from memory directly into eight consecutive 32-bit registers. The processor 100 utilizes the streaming data operation to receive compressed video data for decompression.

Compressed graphics data is received via a direct memory access (DMA) unit in the geometry decompressor 104. The compressed graphics data is decompressed by the geometry decompressor 104 and loaded at a high bandwidth rate into the split register file 216 via group load operations that are mapped to the geometry decompressor 104.

- 11 -

Load operations are non-blocking and scoreboarded so that a long latency inherent to loads can be hidden by early scheduling.

General purpose applications often fail to exploit the large register file **216**. Statistical analysis shows that compilers do not effectively use the large number of registers in the split register file **216**. However, aggressive in-lining techniques that have traditionally been restricted due to the limited number of registers in conventional systems may be advantageously used in the processor **100** to exploit the large number of registers in the split register file **216**. In a software system that exploits the large number of registers in the processor **100**, the complete set of registers is saved upon the event of a thread (context) switch. When only a few registers of the entire set of registers is used, saving all registers in the full thread switch is wasteful. Waste is avoided in the processor **100** by supporting individual marking of registers. Octants of the thirty-two registers can be marked as "dirty" if used, and are consequently saved conditionally.

In various embodiments, the split register file **216** is leveraged by dedicating fields for globals, trap registers, and the like.

Referring to **FIGURE 4**, a schematic block diagram shows a logical view of the register file **216** and functional units in the processor **100**. The physical implementation of the core processor **100** is simplified by replicating a single functional unit to form the three media functional units **220**. The media functional units **220** include circuits that execute various arithmetic and logical operations including general-purpose code, graphics code, and video-image-speech (VIS) processing. VIS processing includes video processing, image processing, digital signal processing (DSP) loops, speech processing, and voice recognition algorithms, for example.

Referring to **FIGURES 5A, 5B, and 5C**, a schematic block diagram of a divided or split register file, a high level view of computation elements of a functional unit, and a pictorial view of an instruction format, respectively are used to illustrate the difficulty of defining an instruction format with a limited number of instruction bits. **FIGURE 5A** shows a schematic block diagram of a decoder **502** that decodes four subinstructions of a very long instruction word. Each of the four decoders applies control signals to one of four register file segments **510, 512, 514, and 516**. Each of the register file segments is coupled to and associated with a functional unit. In the illustrative embodiment, a first register file segment **510** is coupled to and associated with a general functional unit **520**. Second, third, and fourth register file segments **512, 514, and 516** are respectively coupled to and associated with media functional units **522, 524, and 526**.

FIGURE 5B shows an example of a VLIW subinstruction, specifically a multiply-add (muladd) instruction and relates execution of the muladd instruction to computation blocks in a functional unit. The muladd instruction specifies four register specifiers designating data that is operated upon by the functional unit. The muladd instruction specifies three source operands **R_A, R_B, and R_C**, and one destination operand **R_D**. The functional unit includes a multiplier **530** that multiplies the source operands **R_A** and **R_B** to generate a product.

- 12 -

The functional unit also includes an adder 532 that receives the product from the multiplier 530 and adds the product and the source operand R_C to produce a sum that is transferred to the destination register operand R_D .

For a register file in which the register file segments include $N = 2^M$ registers, for example, M bits are used to uniquely specify a particular register so that $4 \cdot M$ are needed to uniquely specify the four registers addressed in a single subinstruction.

FIGURE 5C depicts a subinstruction storage for instructions such as the muladd instruction. Resource size and speed constraints are imposed on instruction storage so that the number of bits in a subinstruction are limited. The four register specifiers for the subinstruction use nearly the entire capacity of the subinstruction storage. For example, a register file segment that includes 128 bits has registers that are uniquely addressed using seven address bits. Addressing of four registers consumes $7 \cdot 4 = 28$ bits. For a subinstruction size constrained to 32 bits, only four bits remain for specifying an operation code or other operational information for controlling execution.

The illustrative VLIW processor partitions the register file into local and global registers to conserve address bits in a very long instruction word.

Referring to **FIGURE 6**, a schematic block diagram shows a register file 600 for a VLIW processor 100 that supports implicitly-derived register specifiers. The Very Long Instruction Word (VLIW) processor has a decoder 602 that decodes instructions for execution in a plurality of functional units including three media functional units 622, 624, and 626, and a general functional unit 620. The processor 100 also includes a multiported register file 600 that is divided into a plurality of separate register file segments 610, 612, 614, and 616, each of the register file segments being associated to one of the plurality of functional units.

For some subinstructions, a register specifier is implicitly derived, typically based on another register specifier. One simple technique for implicitly deriving a register specifier is to add or subtract one from a specifically-defined register specifier. Implicit derivation of a register specifier is selectively implemented for some opcodes. One example, which may be implemented in some processor embodiments, is a multiply and add instruction muladd which is encoded as follows:

muladd rs1, rs2, rd,

which performs an operation specified by the equation:

$$rd = (rs1 * [rs1+1]) + rs2,$$

- 13 -

in which the term $[rs1+1]$ designates data contained within the register following the explicitly-defined register $rs1$. For example, if $rs1$ is set to specify a register $r2$, $rs2$ is set to specify a register $r19$, and rd is set to specify a register $r22$, then the instruction is, as follows:

$$\text{muladd } r2, r19, r22,$$

- 5 which performs an operation specified by the equation:

$$r22 = (r2 * r3) + r19.$$

Another instruction that may include implicitly-derived register specifiers in some processor embodiments is a bit extract instruction:

$$\text{bitext } r10, r45, r77,$$

- 10 which generates a read of registers $r10$, $r11$, and $r45$, and a write of register $r77$. Register $r11$ is derived automatically without consuming seven bits of the instruction field.

- In some embodiments, the decoder 602 decodes instructions that use implicitly-derived register specifiers and reads the explicitly-defined register. The decoder 602 then generates pointers both to the explicitly-defined register and to the implicitly-derived register. In other embodiments, a pointer to registers within the register file segments 610, 612, 614, and 616 includes an additional bit indicating that a register read is accompanied by a read of an implicitly-derived register.

- Referring to **FIGURE 7**, a simplified pictorial schematic diagram depicts an example of instruction execution among a plurality of media functional units 220. Results generated by various internal function blocks within a first individual media functional unit are immediately accessible internally to the first media functional unit 710 but are only accessible globally by other media functional units 712 and 714 and by the general functional unit five cycles after the instruction enters the first media functional unit 710, regardless of the actual latency of the instruction. Therefore, instructions executing within a functional unit can be scheduled by software to execute immediately, taking into consideration the actual latency of the instruction. In contrast, software that schedules instructions executing in different functional units is expected to account for the five cycle latency. In the diagram, the shaded areas represent the stage at which the pipeline completes execution of an instruction and generates final result values. A result is not available internal to a functional unit a final shaded stage completes. In the example, media processing unit instructions have three different latencies - four cycles for instructions such as `fmuladd` and `fadd`, two cycles for instructions such as `pmuladd`, and one cycle for instructions like `padd` and `xor`.

- 14 -

Although internal bypass logic within a media functional unit 220 forwards results to execution units within the same media functional unit 220, the internal bypass logic does not detect incorrect attempts to reference a result before the result is available.

Software that schedules instructions for which a dependency occurs between a particular media functional unit, for example 712, and other media functional units 710 and 714, or between the particular media functional unit 712 and the general functional unit 222, is to account for the five cycle latency between entry of an instruction to the media functional unit 712 and the five cycle pipeline duration.

Referring to **FIGURE 8**, a schematic block diagram depicts an embodiment of the multiport register file 216. A plurality of read address buses **RA1** through **RAN** carry read addresses that are applied to decoder ports 816-1 through 816-N, respectively. Decoder circuits are well known to those of ordinary skill in the art, and any of several implementations could be used as the decoder ports 816-1 through 816-N. When an address is presented to any of decoder ports 816-1 through 816-N, the address is decoded and a read address signal is transmitted by a decoder port 816 to a register in a memory cell array 818. Data from the memory cell array 818 is output using output data drivers 822. Data is transferred to and from the memory cell array 818 under control of control signals carried on some of the lines of the buses of the plurality of read address buses **RA1** through **RAN**.

Referring to **FIGURE 9**, a schematic block diagram illustrates a read circuit 900 that may be implemented within a decoder or a register file for generating implicitly-derived register specifiers. The read circuit 900 receives activation signals $wl_rc<n>$ for each of N registers. In the illustrative example, the register file include 128 registers. The activation signals $wl_rc<n>$ are applied as input signals to N storage cells including N/2 even cells 902 and N/2 odd cells 904. The activation signals $wl_rc<n>$ are applied to the storage cells via OR gates 906. The OR-gates 906 generate a select signal to a storage cell if either the storage cell is addresses or the previous storage cell is addressed.

When a storage cell is activated by the activation signals $wl_rc<n>$, the storage cell generates data signals on bitlines. The even cells 902 generate data signals that are applied to even sense amplifiers 908 via even bitlines rc_ev . The odd cells 904 generate data signals that are applied to odd sense amplifiers 910 via odd bitlines rc_od . Amplified signals are multiplexed at multiplexers 912 and 914 and respectively applied to a high annex 916 and a low annex 918. The annexes supply signals to the general functional unit 222 or media functional units 220. The read circuit 900 controls a 64-bit read operation from a read port that reads registers $rs1$ and $rs1+1$, and controls a 32-bit read operation from a read port, reading bits<31:0> from register $rs1$.

Referring to **FIGURE 10**, a simplified schematic timing diagram illustrates timing of the processor pipeline 1000. The pipeline 1000 includes nine stages including three initiating stages, a plurality of execution phases, and two terminating stages. The three initiating stages are optimized to include only those operations

- 15 -

necessary for decoding instructions so that jump and call instructions, which are pervasive in the Java™ language, execute quickly. Optimization of the initiating stages advantageously facilitates branch prediction since branches, jumps, and calls execute quickly and do not introduce many bubbles.

5 The first of the initiating stages is a fetch stage 1010 during which the processor 100 fetches instructions from the 16Kbyte two-way set-associative instruction cache 210. The fetched instructions are aligned in the instruction aligner 212 and forwarded to the instruction buffer 214 in an align stage 1012, a second stage of the initiating stages. The aligning operation properly positions the instructions for storage in a particular segment of the four register file segments 310, 312, 314, and 316 and for execution in an associated functional unit of the three media functional units 220 and one general functional unit 222. In a third stage, a decoding stage 1014 of
10 the initiating stages, the fetched and aligned VLIW instruction packet is decoded and the scoreboard (not shown) is read and updated in parallel. The four register file segments 310, 312, 314, and 316 each holds either floating-point data or integer data. The register files are read in the decoding (D) stage.

Following the decoding stage 1014, the execution stages are performed. The two terminating stages include a trap-handling stage 1060 and a write-back stage 1062 during which result data is written-back to the
15 split register file 216.

Instructions are specified in instruction words that include one gfu instruction and zero to three mfu instructions. A gfu instruction begins with a two-bit header field that is a count of the number of mfu instructions that follow in the instruction word. All instructions in an instruction word are issued in the same cycle.

20 Less than the maximum of three mfu instructions are included in an instruction word if useful operations are not needed at a particular time. However, if a mfu position is vacant, the vacancy must occur in latter mfu positions (mfu3 and/or mfu2) rather than an earlier position (mfu1).

Latency is propagated from the general functional unit 222 to the media functional units 220 in the order gfu, mfu1, mfu2, and mfu3. An assembler determines the beginning of an instruction word and the value of the two-bit instruction-count header from the presence of a gfu instruction and position of the next gfu instruction.
25 The mfu instructions that follow form the remainder of the instruction word. More than three consecutive mfu instructions are reported as a fatal error since the assembler cannot create a well-formed instruction word without a gfu instruction.

Many mnemonics designate instructions that are implemented both as gfu and mfu operations and thus indicate a gfu instruction only when used at the beginning of an instruction word.

30 The general functional unit 222 and the media functional units 220 implement several instruction formats. A first instruction format is a 'CALL' instruction format which is shown, as follows:

- 16 -

2	4	26
H	0001	immediate

The 'CALL' is implemented only on the general functional unit 222. The H-bits designate the number of mfu instructions in the instruction word that begins with the CALL instruction. The target address in the immediate field includes a combination of an offset in the high-order 17 bits and an address in the low-order 9 bits. The low-order 9 bits are shifted left 5 bits to account for the 32-byte alignment requirement for a CALL target and designate the low-order 14 bits of the target address. The remaining 17 bits encode a two's-complement number that is added to the high-order 18 bits of the program counter of the CALL instruction to attain the high-order 18 bits of the target address.

A second format is a Common Format and has a form, as follows:

2	3	6	7	7	7
H	fmt	opcode	rd	rs1	rs2 or imm

Both the general functional unit 222 and the media functional units 220 implement the Common Format. For gfu instruction usage, the H-field is the count of mfu instructions that follow in the instruction word. For mfu instruction usage, the H-field is set to either 00 or 11. The fmt field is useful to subdivide the opcode space but essentially operates as an extension of the opcode. Instructions that use what would otherwise be the second source register field as an immediate flag such a usage by setting the low-order of fmt-field (bit-27 of the instruction), thereby designating an i-bit.

A third format is a Conditional Branch Format and has the form, as follows:

2	7	2	7	14
H	00000XX	P	rd	immediate

Only the general functional unit 222 implements conditional branch instructions so that the H-bit always designates the number of mfu instructions in the instruction word that begins with the conditional branch. The P-field encodes the prediction specifiers (i) statically predicted taken (pt) and (2) statically predicted not taken (pn). Specifying neither pt nor pn implies selection of a hardware prediction, if prediction is implemented. Prediction coding includes coding of branch on condition, branch on condition statically predicted taken, and branch on condition statically predicted not taken. The low-order 12 bits of the immediate field designate the low-order 12 bits of the branch target word address. The upper-order two bits of the immediate field express the difference between the remaining high-order bits of the branch address, BA, and the target address, TA.

- 17 -

A fourth format is a Sethi, Setlo, and Addlo Format and has the form, as follows:

2	7	2	7	14
H	01110XX	i2	rd	immediate

The Sethi, Setlo, and Addlo instructions are implemented on the general functional unit 222 and the media functional units 220. For the general functional unit 222 position of an instruction word, the H-field designates the number of mfu instructions in the instruction word. In a media functional unit 220 position, the H-field is set to zero. A two-bit i2 field and a 14-bit immediate field are concatenated into a single 16-bit value.

A fifth format is a media functional unit 220 (mfu) Three-Source Register format and has a form, as follows:

2	3	6	7	7	7
H	op	rd	rs3	rs1	rs2

Only the media functional units 220 implement the mfu three-source register format. The H-field is non-zero. If the H-field is equal to 11, then the op-field is set to 10.

Several instructions may implement implicitly-derived register specifiers in various embodiments of the processor 100.

A bit extract (bitext) instruction is a pixel instruction that implements implicitly-derived register specifiers in some processor embodiments. The bitext instruction extracts bits from even-aligned pairs of registers r[rs1] and r[rs1+1]. The extracted field is described by a 6-bit length in bits 21...16 of register r[rs2], and a 5-bit skip count in bits 4...0 of register r[rs2]. The skip count is applied at the high-order end of register r[rs1]. A length longer than 32-bits is clipped to the limit of 32 bits. The field extracted by the bitext instruction is right-justified in the destination register r[rd] without sign-extension. The assembler reports a fatal error if the first source register is not even. The assembler syntax is:

bitext rs1,rs2,rd

where the source register r[rs1+1] is implicitly derived. The bitext instruction is an mfu operation that uses the common instruction format.

A call instruction is a control flow instruction that uses implicitly-derived register specifiers in some processor embodiments. The general functional unit 222 alone implements the call instruction which uses the

call instruction format. Call causes a control transfer to an address specified by a *label* operand, using a syntax, as follows:

call label.

Encoding of the call target in the instruction is described with the call instruction format. Whether a call target outside a code module is reachable in the encoding is generally unknowable until the caller and callee modules are linked. The address of the instruction word following the instruction word begun with the call instruction is held in register r2, an implicit operand of the call instruction. Thus, the assembler uses the alias *lp* (link pointer) for register r2.

A dadd instruction is a floating point instruction that may use an implicitly-derived register specifier in some embodiments of the processor 100. The dadd instruction is an mfu operation that is formatted in the common instruction format. The dadd instruction computes the addition of registers r[rs1] and r[rs2] where the values of the source operands are IEEE double-precision floating point numbers. The result is delivered in destination register r[rd]. All the operands are evenly-aligned register pairs that implicitly derive registers r[rs1+1] and r[rs2+1] from the explicitly-specified registers r[rs1] and r[rs2], respectively, and implicitly derive destination register r[rd+1] from the explicitly-specified register r[rd]. Explicitly-specified registers that are not even-aligned provoke a fatal assembly error. The dadd instruction mnemonic may be qualified by a rounding mode specification. The assembler syntax is, as follows:

dadd *rs1,rs2,rd.*

Instructions `dcmpeq`, `dcmple`, and `dcmplt` are floating point instructions that be implemented to use implicitly-derived register specifiers. Instructions `dcmpeq`, `dcmple`, and `dcmplt` are mfu operations that are formatted in the common instruction format. The `dcmpeq`, `dcmple`, and `dcmplt` are floating point instructions that compare the double-precision floating point operands in even-aligned source registers `r[rs1]` and `r[rs2]` and transfer the result of the comparison into a destination register `r[rd]`. The result is the value one if the comparison is true and zero if it is not. The `dcmpeq`, `dcmple`, and `dcmplt` operations are NaN-aware. The source operands are evenly-aligned register pairs that implicitly derive registers `r[rs1+1]` and `r[rs2+1]` from the explicitly-specified registers `r[rs1]` and `r[rs2]`, respectively. If either source register is not even, the assembler reports a fatal error. Instruction `dcmpeq` checks for equality. Instruction `dcmple` tests whether the first source operand is less than or equal to the second. Instruction `dcmplt` tests whether the first source operand is less than the second. The assembler syntax is:

30 dcmpeq rsl, rs2, rd,

dcmp_{le} rsl, rs2, rd, and

- 19 -

dcmplt rsl,rs2, rd.

A dmul instruction is a floating point instruction that may be implemented to use an implicitly-derived register specifier in some processor embodiments. The dmul instruction a multiplication, $r[rs1] * r[rs2]$, in which the values of the source operands are IEEE double-precision floating point numbers. The result is delivered in register $r[rd]$. All the operands are evenly-aligned register pairs that implicitly derive registers $r[rs1+1]$ and $r[rs2+1]$ from the explicitly-specified registers $r[rs1]$ and $r[rs2]$, respectively, and implicitly derive destination register $r[rd+1]$ from the explicitly-specified register $r[rd]$. All suitable operands are even-aligned register pairs, a condition enforced by the assembler which otherwise provokes a fatal assembly error. The instruction mnemonic may be qualified by a rounding mode specification. The assembler syntax of the dmul instruction is, as follows:

dmul rsl,rs2,rd,

where dmul is an mfu operation that uses the common instruction format.

A dsub instruction is a floating point instruction that computes a subtraction, $r[rs1] - r[rs2]$, and delivers a result in register $r[rd]$. Some processor embodiments implement the dsub instruction to use implicitly derived register specifiers. Values of the source and destination operands are IEEE double-precision floating point numbers. Suitable operands are even-aligned register pairs including explicitly-specified registers $r[rs1]$, $r[rs2]$, and $r[rd]$ and implicitly-derived register specifiers $r[rs1+1]$, $r[rs2+1]$, and $r[rd+1]$, respectively. Even alignment is a condition enforced by the assembler which otherwise provokes a fatal assembly error. The assembler syntax is:

dsub rsl,rs2,rd,

where dsub is an mfu operation that uses the common instruction format.

A dtof instruction is a floating point instruction that converts the IEEE double-precision number in the even-aligned register pair $r[rs1]$ to an IEEE single-precision floating point number in the register $r[rd]$. Some processor embodiments implement the dtof instruction to use implicitly derived register specifiers. A suitable source operand is an even-aligned register pair including the explicitly-specified register $r[rs1]$ and implicitly-derived register specifiers $r[rs1+1]$, otherwise the assembler reports a fatal error. The dtof instruction mnemonic may be qualified by a rounding mode specification. The assembler syntax of dtof is:

dtof rsl, rd,

where dtof is an mfu instruction that uses the common instruction format, but does not use a second source operand.

- 20 -

A *dto* instruction is a floating point instruction that converts the IEEE double-precision number in the even-aligned register pair *r[rs1]* to an integer in a destination register *r[rd]*. A suitable source operand is an even-aligned register pair including the explicitly-specified register *r[rs1]* and, in processor embodiments that implement implicitly derived register specifiers, implicitly-derived register specifiers *r[rs1+1]*, otherwise the assembler reports a fatal error. The assembler syntax is:

dto *rs1,rd*

where *dto* is an mfu instruction that uses the common instruction format, but does not use a second source operand.

A *dtol* instruction is a floating point instruction that converts an IEEE double-precision number in an even-aligned register pair *r[rs1]* to a long integer in the even-aligned register pair *r[rd]*. In processors using implicitly derived register specifiers, suitable operands are even-aligned register pairs including explicitly-specified registers *r[rs1]* and *r[rd]* and implicitly-derived register specifiers *r[rs1+1]* and *r[rd+1]*, respectively. Even alignment is a condition enforced by the assembler which otherwise provokes a fatal assembly error. The assembler syntax is:

dtol *rs1,rd,*

where *dtol* is an mfu instruction that uses the common instruction format, but does not use a second source operand.

A *dabs* instruction is a double precision floating point instructions that compute absolute value using implicitly-derived register specifiers in processor embodiments that support implicitly-defined register specifiers in the *dabs* instruction. The result of the *dabs* instruction is equal to the value of the source operand with the sign-bit cleared. The *dabs* instruction has a source in the even-aligned pair of registers specified by *r[rs1]* and implicitly defined as register *r[rs1+1]* and places a result in the even-aligned pair of registers specified by *r[rd]* and implicitly *r[rd+1]*. If either explicitly-specified operand of *dabs* is not even-aligned, the instruction produces an *illegal_inst* general exception. The assembler syntax is:

dabs *rs1,rd,*

where *fabs* is implemented on all units. The *dabs* instruction is implemented only on media functional units 220 and uses the common instruction format with no second source operand.

A *dmax* instruction and a *dmin* instruction are double precision floating point instructions that compute the maximum and the minimum of a source operand, respectively. The *dmax* and *dmin* instructions may use implicitly-derived register specifiers and receive two double precision source operands in the even-aligned

- 21 -

register pairs explicitly specified by $r[rs1]$ and $r[rs2]$ to produce a copy of the greater value ($dmax$) or the smaller value ($dmin$) in the even-aligned register pair specified by $r[rd]$. If any operand of $dmax$ or $dmin$ is not even-aligned, the instruction produces an *illegal_inst* General Exception. If a source operand is not a number (NaN), then the result is the NaN. If both source operands are NaNs, the result is the second NaN. The assembler syntax is:

$dmax \quad rs1, rs2, rd,$

$dmin \quad rs1, rs2, rd,$

where $dmax$ and $dmin$ are mfu instructions in the common instruction format.

A $dneg$ instruction is a double precision instruction that negates the source operand so that the result of the instruction is equal to the source operand with the sign-bit complemented. The $dneg$ instruction has a source in the even-aligned pair of registers explicitly specified by $r[rs1]$ and implicitly defined as $r[rs1+1]$, in processors that support $dneg$ with implicitly-derived specifiers, and a result in the even-aligned pair of registers explicitly specified by $r[rd]$ and implicitly defined by $r[rd+1]$. If either operand of $dneg$ is not even-aligned, the instruction produces an *illegal_inst* General Exception. The assembler syntax is:

$dneg \quad rsl, rd,$

where $dneg$ is implemented only on media functional units 220 and uses the common instruction format with no second source operand.

A $ftod$ instruction and a $ftol$ instruction are floating point instructions that convert an IEEE single-precision number in the register $r[rs1]$ to, respectively, an IEEE double-precision number in the even-aligned register pair $r[rd]$ and a long integer in the even-aligned register pair $r[rd]$. In some processor embodiments, the $ftod$ and $ftol$ instructions use implicitly-derived register specifiers for the destination register $r[rd]$, implicitly defining the register $r[rd+1]$. If the destination register $r[rd]$ is not even, the assembler reports a fatal error. The assembler syntax is:

$ftod \quad rsl, rd,$

$ftol \quad rsl, rd,$

where $ftod$ and $ftol$ are mfu instructions that use the common instruction format with no second source operand.

An $itod$ instruction is a floating point instruction that converts an integer in the register $r[rs1]$ to an IEEE double-precision floating point number in the even-aligned register pair $r[rd]$. In some processor embodiments, the $itod$ instruction uses implicitly-derived register specifiers for the destination register $r[rd]$, implicitly defining

- 22 -

the register $r[rd+1]$. If the destination register $r[rd]$ is not even, the assembler reports a fatal error. The assembler syntax is:

itod $rs1, rd,$

where itod is an mfu instruction that uses the common instruction format, with no second source operand.

5 In some processors instructions *lcmpeq*, *lcmple*, *lcmplt*, and *lcmpult* compare a long integer value in the even-aligned register pair explicitly specified by $r[rs1]$ and implicitly specified by $r[rs1+1]$ with either a long integer value in the even-aligned register pair explicitly specified by $r[rs2]$ and implicitly defined by $r[rs2+1]$ or a sign-extended 7-bit immediate value. If the comparison is true, the result register $r[rd]$ is set to the integer value 1; otherwise, the result register $r[rd]$ is set to value 0. Instruction *lcmpeq* tests for equality. Instruction *lcmple*
 10 determines whether the first operand ($r[rs1]$, $r[rs1+1]$) is less than or equal to the second operand ($r[rs2]$, $r[rs2+1]$) or immediate). Instruction *lcmplt* determines whether the first operand ($r[rs1]$, $r[rs1+1]$) is less than the second operand ($r[rs2]$, $r[rs2+1]$) or immediate). Instruction *lcmpult* determines whether the first operand ($r[rs1]$, $r[rs1+1]$) is less than the second operand ($r[rs2]$, $r[rs2+1]$) or immediate) but operates upon the operands as unsigned integers. The assembler syntax is:

15 *lcmpeq* $rs1, reg_or_imm7, rd,$

lcmple $rs1, reg_or_imm7, rd,$

lcmplt $rs1, reg_or_imm7, rd,$

lcmpult $rs1, reg_or_imm7, rd,$

20 where the *lcmpeq*, *lcmple*, *lcmplt*, and *lcmpult* instructions are implemented on the media functional units 220 using the common instruction format.

 Instructions *ltod* and *ltof* are floating point instructions that convert a long integer in the even-aligned register pair $r[rs1]$ to, respectively, an IEEE double-precision floating point number in the even-aligned register pair $r[rd]$ and to an IEEE single-precision floating point number in the register $r[rd]$. In some processor
 25 embodiments, the *ltod* instruction uses implicitly-derived register specifiers for the source register $r[rs1]$, implicitly defining the register $r[rs1+1]$ and for the destination register $r[rd]$, implicitly defining the register $r[rd+1]$. The *ltof* instruction uses implicitly-derived register specifiers for the destination register $r[rd]$, implicitly defining the register $r[rd+1]$. If the destination register $r[rd]$ is not even for either the *ltod* and *ltof* instruction or the source register $r[rs1]$ is not even for the *ltod* instruction, the assembler reports a fatal error. The *ltod* and *ltof* instruction mnemonics may be qualified by a rounding mode specification as shown in the opcode table. The
 30 assembler syntax is:

- 23 -

ltod rsl,rd,

ltof rsl,rd,

where ltod and ltof are mfu instructions that use the common instruction format with no second source operand.

Some processors support a pack instruction which implements implicitly derived register specifiers,
 5 Pack is a pixel instruction that operates upon a first source operand, the even-aligned registers pair r[rs1] and
 implicitly-defined register r[rs1+1], as four signed 16-bit operands. Each 16-bit operand is shifted right by the
 value of the low-order four bits of a second source operand r[rs2]. The shifted, signed short values of registers
 r[rs1] and r[rs1+1] are clipped to values between 0 and 255 and placed in the destination register r[rd] so that, if
 the resulting short is negative, zero is the clipped value. If the result is greater than 255, then 255 is the clipped
 10 value. Values between 0 and 255 are unchanged. The pack result values are packed into the result register r[rd]
 with the value derived from bits 31:16 of register r[rs1] set in bits 31:24, the value derived from bits 15:0 of
 register r[rs1] in bits 23:16, the value derived from bits 31:16 of register r[rs1+1] in bits 15:8, and the value
 derived from bits 15:0 of register r[rs1+1] in bits 7:0. The assembler reports a fatal error if the first source
 operand is not an even-aligned register. The assembler syntax is:

15 pack rsl,rs2,rd,

where pack is an mfu operation that uses the common instruction format.

Instruction pcst is a hybrid operation that may support implicitly-derived register specifiers. Pcst
 combines the behavior of conditional stores with parallel operations. The assembler syntax is:

pcst rd, [rsl],rs2,

20 where the second source operand r[rs2] defines is a pair of 16-bit conditions that control whether a corresponding
 half of destination register r[rd] is stored at the address defined by the first source register r[rs1]. The first source
 register is explicitly defined as r[rs1] and includes an implicitly-derived register specifier r[rs1+1]. The address
 must be word-aligned. The pcst instruction is a word-store operation in which one, the other, both, or neither
 halves of a word might change, depending on whether the halves of the control register, r[rs2]. Non-zero control
 25 register-half stores; zero control register-half does not. The pcst instruction is a general functional unit 222
 operation that uses the common instruction format.

An instruction lshll may support implicitly derived register specifiers. Lshll is a logical instruction that
 computes a shift value:

r[rs1] >> r[rs2], or

- 24 -

$r[rs1] \gg \text{imm}.$

Use of an immediate for the second source operand sets the i-bit of the opcode. The result is left in register $r[rd]$. Instruction `lshll` shifts a 64-bit datum to produce a 64-bit result. Only the low-order 6 bits of the second source operand are used for execution of `lshll`. The first register operand $r[rs1]$ includes an implicitly-derived register specifier $r[rs2]$. Similarly the result register $r[rd]$ includes an implicitly-derived register specifiers $r[rd+1]$. The source and destination registers are thus even-aligned register pairs. Otherwise, the assembler produces a fatal error. Other register operands include any visible general purpose register. The `lshll` instruction uses the common instruction format and is implemented only on the media functional units 220.

Instructions `lshra` and `lshrl` are possibly implemented as implicitly-derived register specifiers. `Lshra` and `lshrl` are logical instruction that compute:

$r[rs1] \gg r[rs2], \text{ and}$

$r[rs1] \gg \text{imm}.$

Usage of an immediate for the second source operand sets the i-bit of the opcode. The result is held in destination register $r[rd]$. Instruction `lshra` is an arithmetic shift so that a set sign bit is propagated to the right. Instruction `lshrl` is a logical shift so that bits are cleared on the left. Instructions `lshra` and `lshrl` shift a 64-bit datum producing a 64-bit result. Only the low-order 6 bits of the second source operand are used for the `lshra` and `lshrl` instructions. The first register operand $r[rs1]$ and the result register $r[rd]$ of `lshra` and `lshrl` are explicitly-specified and are respectively associated with implicitly-derived register specifiers $r[rs1+1]$ and $r[rd+1]$. The specified registers $r[rs1]$ and $r[rd]$ and are to be even-aligned register pairs. Otherwise, the assembler produces a fatal error. Other register operands may be any visible general purpose register. The assembler syntax is:

`lshra rs1, reg_or_imm7, rd, and`

`lshrl rs1, reg_or_imm7, rd,`

where the `lshra` and `lshrl` instructions use the common instruction format and are implemented only on media functional units 220.

Instructions `stl` and `stla` are memory access instructions that may employ implicitly-derived register specifiers. The `stl` and `stla` instructions store a 64-bit long from an even-aligned pair of registers specified explicitly by a register $r[rd]$ and implicitly-derived register $r[rd+1]$ to a specified address. The assembler syntax is:

`stl rd, [address], and`

- 25 -

stla rd, [address], [asi].

The stl and stla instructions are general functional unit 222 operations that uses the common instruction format. The stla[12] instruction is an "alternate space" store operation that operates as an ordinary store except that the effective addresses are qualified by two alternate space identifiers (ASIs 1 and 2). Which ASI is used is denoted by the last character of the mnemonic. The assembly syntax is identical to ordinary stores but is also appended with "a1" or "a2".

An lsub instruction is an integer instruction that computes the difference of two register operands r[rs1] - r[rs2] or one register operand and a sign-extended 7-bit immediate r[rs1] - sign_ext(imm7) and places the result in r[rd]. The use of an immediate for the second source operand sets the i-bit of the opcode. Some processor embodiments by support implicitly-derived register specifiers for the lsub instruction. The register operands of lsub are even-aligned register pairs with the first register of the pair explicitly-specified in the instruction and the second instruction of the pair being an implicitly-derived register specifier. The assembler produces a fatal error for an odd-numbered register operand for lsub. The assembler syntax is:

lsub rsl, reg_or_imm7, rd,

where the lsub instruction uses the common format and is implemented only on media functional units 220.

While the invention has been described with reference to various embodiments, it will be understood that these embodiments are illustrative and that the scope of the invention is not limited to them. Many variations, modifications, additions and improvements of the embodiments described are possible. For example, those skilled in the art will readily implement the steps necessary to provide the structures and methods disclosed herein, and will understand that the process parameters, materials, and dimensions are given by way of example only and can be varied to achieve the desired structure as well as modifications which are within the scope of the invention. Variations and modifications of the embodiments disclosed herein may be made based on the description set forth herein, without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention as set forth in the following claims.

WE CLAIM

1 1. A processor comprising:
2 a register file including a plurality of registers; and
3 a functional unit coupled to the register file, characterized in that the functional unit executes an
4 instruction operating upon a plurality of registers in the register file, the instruction in which a
5 register specifier is implicitly derived, based on another register specifier.

2. A processor according to Claim 1 further comprising:
a decoder coupled to the functional unit and coupled to the register file, the decoder implicitly deriving a register specifier based on an explicitly-specified register specifier of the instruction.

3. A processor according to Claim 1 wherein:
the register specifier is implicitly derived by adding one to an explicitly-defined register specifier.

1 4. A processor according to Claim 1 wherein the processor is a Very Long Instruction Word (VLIW)
2 and further comprising:
3 a register file including a plurality of register file segments;
4 a plurality of functional units, ones of the plurality of functional units being coupled to and associated
5 with respective ones of the register file segments.

5. A processor according to Claim 1 wherein:
a multiply-add instruction uses an implicitly-derived register specifier and has a form of:

3 `muladd rs1, rs2, rd,`
4 `and performs an operation specified by the equation:`

5 $rd = (rs1 * [rs1+1]) + rs2,$
6 where the term $[rs1+1]$ designates data contained within the register following the explicitly-
7 defined register $rs1$.

6. A processor according to Claim 1 wherein:
a bit extract instruction uses an implicitly-derived register specifiers and has a form of:

```
3                                bitext    rs1, rs2, rd,
```

- 27 -

4 and performs an operation of extracting bits from even-aligned pairs of registers r[rs1] and
5 [rs1+1] where the term [rs1+1] designates data contained within the register following
6 the explicitly-defined register rs1.

1 7. A processor according to Claim 1 wherein:
2 a call instruction uses an implicitly-derived register specifiers and has a form of:

3 call label,
4 causing a control transfer to an address specified by a label operand, the address of the
5 instruction word following the instruction word begun with the call instruction is held
6 in an alias register, an assembler using an alias link pointer lp for the alias register.

1 8. A processor according to Claim 1 wherein:
2 a double-precision floating point add instruction uses an implicitly-derived register specifiers and has a
3 form of:

4 dadd rs1, rs2, rd,
5 and performs an operation specified by the equation:

6 $(rd, [rd+1]) = (rs1, [rs1+1]) + (rs2, [rs2+1]),$
7 where the terms (rs1, [rs1+1]), (rs2, [rs2+1]), and (rd, [rd+1]) designate double-precision
8 words.

1 9. A processor according to Claim 1 wherein:
2 a double-precision floating point compare instruction uses an implicitly-derived register specifiers and
3 has a form of:

4 dcmpcc rs1, rs2, rd,
5 and performs an operation of comparing data in registers (rs1, [rs1+1]) with data in registers
6 (rs2, [rs2+1]) and storing a result in registers (rd, [rd+1]) where the terms (rs1,
7 [rs1+1]), (rs2, [rs2+1]), and (rd, [rd+1]) designate double-precision words, and cc
8 designates a condition code including equal, less than, and less than or equal to
9 conditions.

1 10. A processor according to Claim 1 wherein:
2 a double-precision floating point multiply instruction uses an implicitly-derived register specifiers and
3 has a form of:

- 28 -

4 dmul rs1, rs2, rd,

5 and performs an operation specified by the equation:

6 $(rd, [rd+1]) = (rs1, [rs1+1]) * (rs2, [rs2+1]),$

7 where the terms $(rs1, [rs1+1])$, $(rs2, [rs2+1])$, and $(rd, [rd+1])$ designate double-precision
8 words.

1 11. A processor according to Claim 1 wherein:

2 a double-precision floating point subtraction instruction uses an implicitly-derived register specifiers
3 and has a form of:

4 dsub rs1, rs2, rd,

5 and performs an operation specified by the equation:

6 $(rd, [rd+1]) = (rs1, [rs1+1]) - (rs2, [rs2+1]),$

7 where the terms $(rs1, [rs1+1])$, $(rs2, [rs2+1])$, and $(rd, [rd+1])$ designate double-precision
8 words.

1 12. A processor according to Claim 1 wherein:

2 a pack instruction uses an implicitly-derived register specifiers and has a form of:

3 pack rs1, rs2, rd,

4 and operates upon a register pair $(rs1, [rs1+1])$ as four signed 16-bit operands, and shifts the
5 four operands right by a value designated by the register specified by rs2, clips the
6 shifted 16-bit operands within defined limits and stores the clipped 16-bit operands in
7 a register pair $(rd, [rd+1])$.

1 13. A processor according to Claim 1 wherein:

2 a double-precision floating point conversion instruction uses an implicitly-derived register specifiers and
3 has a form of:

4 dtox rs1, rd,

5 and performs an operation of converting a double-precision floating point value to a specified
6 format x, the format x including a single-precision floating point format (dtof), an
7 integer format (dtoj), and a long integer format (dtol).

- 29 -

1 14. A processor according to Claim 1 wherein:

2 a double-precision floating point absolute value instruction uses an implicitly-derived register specifiers
3 and has a form of:

4 dabs rs1, rd,

5 and performs an operation of converting a double-precision floating point value in a register
6 pair (rs1, [rs1+1]) to an absolute magnitude in a register pair (rd, [rd+1]).

1 15. A processor according to Claim 1 wherein:

2 a double-precision floating point negative value instruction uses an implicitly-derived register specifiers
3 and has a form of:

4 dneg rs1, rd,

5 and performs an operation of converting a double-precision floating point value in a register
6 pair (rs1, [rs1+1]) to a negative magnitude in a register pair (rd, [rd+1]).

1 16. A processor according to Claim 1 wherein:

2 a double-precision floating point set limit instruction uses an implicitly-derived register specifiers and
3 has a form of:

4 dlim rs1, rs2, rd,

5 and performs an operation of setting a double-precision destination register (rd, [rd+1]) to the
6 maximum of a double-precision first source register (rs1, [rs1+1]) and a double-
7 precision second source register (rs2, [rs2+1]), or setting the double-precision
8 destination register (rd, [rd+1]) to the minimum of a double-precision first source
9 register (rs1, [rs1+1]) and a double-precision second source register (rs2, [rs2+1]),
10 where the terms (rs1, [rs1+1]), (rs2, [rs2+1]), and (rd, [rd+1]) designate double-precision
11 words.

1 17. A processor according to Claim 1 further comprising:

2 a decoder coupled to the functional unit and coupled to the register file, the decoder implicitly deriving a
3 register specifier based on an explicitly-specified register specifier of the instruction and
4 generating a first pointer to the explicitly-specified register and a second pointer to the
5 implicitly-derived register.

1 18. A processor according to Claim 1 further comprising:
2 a decoder coupled to the functional unit and coupled to the register file, the decoder implicitly deriving a
3 register specifier based on an explicitly-specified register specifier of the instruction and
4 generating a first pointer to the explicitly-specified register and a second pointer to the
5 implicitly-derived register.

1 19. A processor according to Claim 1 further comprising:
2 a pointer coupled to the register file and designating a register in the register file, the pointer including a
3 signal indicative of selection of a implicitly-derived register, the register file generating two
4 pointers, one directed to the explicitly-specified register and a second directed to the implicitly-
5 derived register when implicit derivation of a register specifier is selected.

1 20. A method of operating a processor comprising:
2 storing information in a register file including a plurality of registers;
3 executing instructions in a functional unit coupled to the register file and operating upon a plurality of
4 registers in the register file;
5 explicitly defining a register specifier of a register operated upon during executing of the instruction;
6 and
7 implicitly deriving a register specifier based on the explicitly defined register specifier.

1 21. A method according to Claim 20 further comprising:
2 decoding an instruction; and
3 deriving, during decoding of the instruction, a register specifier based on an explicitly-specified register
4 specifier of the instruction.

1 22. A method according to Claim 20 further comprising:
2 implicitly deriving the register specifier by adding one to an explicitly-defined register specifier.

1/7




FIG. 1

2/7







FIG. 2

**FIG. 3****FIG. 4****FIG. 5A**

4/7




FIG. 5B




FIG. 5C






FIG. 6



6/7




FIG. 9

7/7




FIG. 10

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

 International Application No.
 PCT/US 99/28609

 A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
 IPC 7 G06F9/30

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 G06F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 4 280 177 A (WALDAWSKY-BERGER IRVING ET AL) 21 July 1981 (1981-07-21)	1,2,20
Y		4
A	column 2, line 31 - line 38 column 5, line 16 - line 30	21
P,X	US 5 983 340 A (MILLER MARK E ET AL) 9 November 1999 (1999-11-09)	1,20
A	column 3, line 25 - line 39	21
Y	US 5 530 817 A (MASUBUCHI YOSHIO) 25 June 1996 (1996-06-25)	4
	column 3, line 1 - line 18	
X	EP 0 483 967 A (SUN MICROSYSTEMS INC) 6 May 1992 (1992-05-06)	1-3, 20-22
	column 3, line 44 - column 4, line 3	
	-/-	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- "Z" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

24 March 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

30/03/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

 European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Moraiti, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intex Application No
PCT/US 99/28609

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 97 08608 A (PELEG ALEXANDER D ;EITAN BENNY (IL); YAARI YAACOV (IL); DULONG CAR) 6 March 1997 (1997-03-06) abstract page 8, paragraph 2 -page 9, paragraph 4	8-12
A	EP 0 679 992 A (ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES INC) 2 November 1995 (1995-11-02) page 5, line 34 -page 6, line 10 figure 2	8,10,11
A	US 4 980 819 A (SHEN JIAN-KUO ET AL) 25 December 1990 (1990-12-25) column 2, line 28 - line 52 column 3, line 45 - line 53 column 4, line 54 - line 58 column 7, line 10 -column 8, line 8	4
A	EP 0 836 137 A (SUN MICROSYSTEMS INC) 15 April 1998 (1998-04-15) column 8, line 1 - line 32 figure 7	5
A	US 5 835 793 A (LACZKO SR FRANK L ET AL) 10 November 1998 (1998-11-10) abstract	6

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Int. Application No.

PCT/US 99/28609

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 4280177	A	21-07-1981	EP 0021097 A IT 1151066 B JP 1253081 C JP 56007284 A JP 59027995 B	07-01-1981 17-12-1986 26-02-1985 24-01-1981 10-07-1984
US 5983340	A	09-11-1999	NONE	
US 5530817	A	25-06-1996	JP 5233281 A	10-09-1993
EP 0483967	A	06-05-1992	CA 2054459 A,C JP 6103062 A KR 9601943 B US 5771368 A	30-04-1992 15-04-1994 08-02-1996 23-06-1998
WO 9708608	A	06-03-1997	AU 6677896 A BR 9610095 A CN 1200822 A EP 0847551 A JP 11511575 T	19-03-1997 17-02-1999 02-12-1998 17-06-1998 05-10-1999
EP 0679992	A	02-11-1995	US 5574928 A JP 7295813 A US 5903772 A	12-11-1996 10-11-1995 11-05-1999
US 4980819	A	25-12-1990	NONE	
EP 0836137	A	15-04-1998	US 5996066 A JP 11003226 A SG 54569 A	30-11-1999 06-01-1999 16-11-1998
US 5835793	A	10-11-1998	NONE	